

CULTURALLY AND LINGUISTICALLY RESPONSIVE RESPONSE TO INTERVENTION WITHIN MULTI-TIERED SYSTEM OF SUPPORTS: FIDELITY OF IMPLEMENTATION RUBRIC

Adapted from the *National Center on RTI Integrity Rubric*, 2011

Preferred Citation

Multitiered Systems of Support for English Learners. (2018). *Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Response to Intervention Within Multi-Tiered System of Supports: Fidelity of Implementation Rubric*. Washington, DC: U.S. Office of Special Education Programs.

Overview

The Culturally and Linguistically Responsive (CLR)–Response to Intervention (RTI) Fidelity Rubric is used by individuals who are responsible for monitoring school-level fidelity of RTI implementation within a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS). The rubric is aligned with the essential components of RTI and the infrastructure that is necessary for successful implementation. It is accompanied by a worksheet with guiding questions and score points for use in an interview with a school’s RTI leadership team.

Definitions

English Learners (ELs): K–12 learners whose native language is not English or who come from an environment where a language other than English is dominant, which has a significant impact on their level of English language proficiency (modified from the federal definition of “students who are limited in English proficiency”)

Literacy: Includes reading, writing, speaking, and/or listening depending on the context and areas of RTI being implemented (for ELs, speaking and listening must also be included when reading or writing is addressed)



Assessments

Screening, progress monitoring, and other supporting assessments are used to inform data-based decision making.

Screening—The RTI framework accurately identifies students at risk for poor learning outcomes or challenging behaviors.

1	3	5
Screening Tools		
<p>There is insufficient evidence that the screening tools are reliable, correlations between the instruments and valued outcomes are strong, and predictions of risk status are accurate.</p>	<p>Evidence indicates that the screening tools are reliable, correlations between the instruments and valued outcomes are strong, and predictions of risk status are accurate, but staff is unable to articulate the supporting evidence.</p>	<p>Evidence indicates that the screening tools are reliable, correlations between the instruments and valued outcomes are strong, and predictions of risk status are accurate, and staff is able to articulate the supporting evidence.</p>
Linguistically Matched Screening Tools		
<p>Screening tools are only in English and do not assess students' native language literacy or other languages of instruction.</p>	<p>Evidence of one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Screening tools match language of instruction for all languages taught in school. • Screening tools match all languages in your school to assess students' native language literacy skills (to the extent possible). 	<p>Evidence of both of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Screening tools match language of instruction for all languages taught in school. • Screening tools match all languages in your school to assess students' native language literacy skills (to the extent possible).

1	3	5
Universal Screening		
<p>One or none of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Screening is conducted for all students (i.e., is universal). • Procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., all students are tested, scores are accurate, cut points/decisions are accurate). • A process to screen all students occurs more than once per year (e.g., fall, winter, spring). 	<p>Two of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Screening is conducted for all students (i.e., is universal). • Procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., all students are tested, scores are accurate, cut points/decisions are accurate). • A process to screen all students occurs more than once per year (e.g., fall, winter, spring). 	<p>All of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Screening is conducted for all students (i.e., is universal). • Procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., all students are tested, scores are accurate, cut points/decisions are accurate). • A process to screen all students occurs more than once per year (e.g., fall, winter, spring).
Linguistically Aligned Universal Screening Process		
<p>None or one of the following conditions is met: All students are screened in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Their native language (if possible) • English • The language of instruction if different than their native language 	<p>Two of the following conditions are met: All students are screened in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Their native language (if possible) • English • The language of instruction if different than their native language 	<p>All of the following conditions are met: All students are screened in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Their native language (if possible) • English • The language of instruction if different than their native language
Data Points to Verify Risk		
<p>Screening data are not used or are used alone to verify decisions about whether a student is or is not at risk.</p>	<p>Screening data are used in concert with at least one other data source (e.g., classroom performance, curriculum-based assessment, performance on state assessments, diagnostic assessment data, short-term progress monitoring, dynamic assessment) to verify decisions about whether a student is or is not at risk.</p>	<p>Screening data are used in concert with at least two other data sources (e.g., classroom performance, performance on state assessments, diagnostic assessment data, short-term progress monitoring, dynamic assessment) to verify decisions about whether a student is or is not at risk.</p>
Language Assessments Used for Instructional Planning		
<p>Language assessment data are not collected or are not used for instructional planning for ELs.</p>	<p>Language assessment data are collected but are not used or are inconsistently used for instructional planning for ELs.</p>	<p>Language assessment data are collected and used for instructional planning for all ELs.</p>

Progress Monitoring—Ongoing and frequent monitoring of progress quantifies rates of improvement and informs instructional practice and the development of individualized programs. Measures are appropriate for the student’s grade and/or skill level.

1	3	5
Progress-Monitoring Tools		
<p>Selected progress-monitoring tools in English meet no more than one of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a sufficient number of alternate forms of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level • Specify minimum acceptable growth • Provide benchmarks for minimum acceptable, end-of-year performance • Reliability and validity information for the performance-level score is available 	<p>Selected progress-monitoring tools in English meet two or three of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a sufficient number of alternate forms of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level • Specify minimum acceptable growth • Provide benchmarks for minimum acceptable, end-of-year performance • Reliability and validity information for the performance-level score is available 	<p>Selected progress-monitoring tools in English meet all of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a sufficient number of alternate forms of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level • Specify minimum acceptable growth • Provide benchmarks for minimum acceptable, end-of-year performance • Reliability and validity information for the performance-level score is available and staff are able to articulate the supporting evidence
Linguistically Aligned Progress-Monitoring Tools		
<p>Selected progress-monitoring tools in the native language meet no more than one of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a sufficient number of alternate forms of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level • Specify minimum acceptable growth • Provide benchmarks for minimum acceptable, end-of-year performance • Reliability and validity information for the performance-level score is available 	<p>Selected progress-monitoring tools in the native language meet two or three of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a sufficient number of alternate forms of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level • Specify minimum acceptable growth • Provide benchmarks for minimum acceptable, end-of-year performance • Reliability and validity information for the performance-level score is available 	<p>Selected progress-monitoring tools in the native language meet all of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a sufficient number of alternate forms of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level • Specify minimum acceptable growth • Provide benchmarks for minimum acceptable, end-of-year performance • Reliability and validity information for the performance-level score is available and staff are able to articulate the supporting evidence

1	3	5
Progress-Monitoring Process		
<p>Neither of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Progress monitoring occurs at least monthly for students receiving secondary-level intervention and at least weekly for students receiving intensive intervention. • Procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., appropriate students are tested, scores are accurate, decision-making rules are applied consistently). 	<p>Only one of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Progress monitoring occurs at least monthly for students receiving secondary-level intervention and at least weekly for students receiving intensive intervention. • Procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., appropriate students are tested, scores are accurate, decision-making rules are applied consistently). 	<p>Both of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Progress monitoring occurs at least monthly for students receiving secondary-level intervention and at least weekly for students receiving intensive intervention. • Procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy (i.e., appropriate students are tested, scores are accurate, decision-making rules are applied consistently).

Data-Based Decision Making

Data-based decision-making processes are used to inform instruction, movement within the multilevel system, and disability identification (in accordance with state law).

1	3	5
<h2>Decision-Making Process</h2>		
<p>The mechanism for making decisions about the participation of students in the instruction/intervention levels meets no more than one of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The process is data driven and based on validated methods. • The process involves a broad base of stakeholders. • The process is operationalized with clear, established decision rules (e.g., movement between levels or tiers, determination of appropriate instruction or interventions). 	<p>The mechanism for making decisions about the participation of students in the instruction/intervention levels meets two of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The process is data driven and based on validated methods. • The process involves a broad base of stakeholders. • The process is operationalized with clear, established decision rules (e.g., movement between levels or tiers, determination of appropriate instruction or interventions). 	<p>The mechanism for making decisions about the participation of students in the instruction/intervention levels meets all of the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The process is data driven and based on validated methods. • The process involves a broad base of stakeholders. • The process is operationalized with clear, established decision rules (e.g., movement between levels or tiers, determination of appropriate instruction or interventions).
<h2>Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Decision-Making Process</h2>		
<p>Data are not collected or analyzed by different student groups (by language, race, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, or other relevant groups).</p>	<p>Data may be collected, but are not regularly analyzed by different student groups (by language, race, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, or other relevant groups).</p>	<p>Data are collected and regularly analyzed by different groups (by language, race, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, or other relevant groups).</p>
<h2>Data System</h2>		
<p>A data system is in place that meets two or fewer of the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The system allows users to document and access individual student-level data (including screening and progress-monitoring data) and instructional decisions. • Data are entered in a timely manner. • Data can be represented graphically. • There is a process for setting/evaluating goals. 	<p>A data system is in place that meets three of the following four conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The system allows users to document and access individual student-level data (including screening and progress-monitoring data) and instructional decisions. • Data are entered in a timely manner. • Data can be represented graphically. • There is a process for setting/evaluating goals. 	<p>A data system is in place that meets all of the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The system allows users to document and access individual student-level data (including screening and progress-monitoring data) and instructional decisions. • Data are entered in a timely manner. • Data can be represented graphically. • There is a process for setting/evaluating goals.

1	3	5
Responsiveness to Secondary and Intensive Levels of Intervention		
<p>Neither of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decisions about responsiveness to intervention are based on reliable and valid progress-monitoring data that reflect slope of improvement or progress toward the attainment of a goal at the end of the intervention. • These decision-making criteria are implemented accurately. 	<p>Only one of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decisions about responsiveness to intervention are based on reliable and valid progress-monitoring data that reflect slope of improvement or progress toward the attainment of a goal at the end of the intervention. • These decision-making criteria are implemented accurately. 	<p>Both of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decisions about responsiveness to intervention are based on reliable and valid progress-monitoring data that reflect slope of improvement or progress toward the attainment of a goal at the end of the intervention. • These decision-making criteria are implemented accurately.

Multilevel Instruction

The RTI framework includes a schoolwide, multilevel system of instruction and interventions for supporting academic success and preventing school failure. Commonly represented by the three-tiered triangle, multilevel instruction also is known as a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS).

Primary-Level Instruction/Core Curriculum (Tier I)

1	3	5
Research-Based Curriculum Materials		
Few core curriculum materials are research based for the target population of learners (including sub-groups).	Some core curriculum materials are research based for the target population of learners (including sub-groups).	All core curriculum materials are research based for the target population of learners (including sub-groups).
Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Practices		
Curriculum or instruction does not consider students' cultural and linguistic needs.	Curriculum or instruction is inconsistently designed for or adapted to students' cultural and linguistic needs.	Curriculum and instruction are consistently designed for or adapted to students' cultural and linguistic needs.
Articulation of Teaching and Learning (in and across grade levels)		
<p>Neither of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teaching and learning objectives are well articulated from one grade to another. Teaching and learning are well articulated within grade levels so that students have highly similar experiences, regardless of their assigned teacher. 	<p>Only one of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teaching and learning objectives are well articulated from one grade to another. Teaching and learning are well articulated within grade levels so that students have highly similar experiences, regardless of their assigned teacher. 	<p>Both of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Teaching and learning objectives are well articulated from one grade to another. Teaching and learning are well articulated within grade levels so that students have highly similar experiences, regardless of their assigned teacher.
Differentiated Instruction		
<p>Neither of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff can describe the school's process for differentiating instruction (changing process, content, or product) for students on, below, or above grade level. Staff can explain and implement the school's process for using student data to identify and address the needs of students. 	<p>Only one of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff can describe the school's process for differentiating instruction (changing process, content, or product) for students on, below, or above grade level. Staff can explain and implement the school's process for using student data to identify and address the needs of students. 	<p>Both of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff can describe the school's process for differentiating instruction (changing process, content, or product) for students on, below, or above grade level. Staff can explain and implement the school's process for using student data to identify and address the needs of students.

1	3	5
Standards-Based		
The core curriculum (reading and mathematics) is not aligned with the state standards.	The core curriculum (reading and mathematics) is partially aligned with the state standards.	The core curriculum (reading and mathematics) is aligned with the state standards.
Exceeding Benchmark		
<p>Neither of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The school provides enrichment opportunities for students exceeding benchmarks. • Teachers implement those opportunities consistently at all grade levels. 	<p>One of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The school provides enrichment opportunities for students exceeding benchmarks. • Teachers implement those opportunities consistently at all grade levels. 	<p>Both of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The school provides enrichment opportunities for students exceeding benchmarks. • Teachers implement those opportunities consistently at all grade levels.

Secondary-Level Intervention (Tier II)—targeted academic support for students not meeting grade level benchmark

1	3	5
Evidence-Based Intervention		
Secondary-level interventions are not evidence based in content areas and grade levels where they are available.	Some secondary-level interventions are evidence based in content areas and grade levels where they are available.	All secondary-level interventions are evidence based in content areas and grade levels where they are available.
Cultural and Linguistic Match of Evidence-Based Intervention to ELs		
The intervention does not take into account students’ linguistic, cultural, and instructional needs, and addresses both foundational skills and language proficiency.	The intervention inconsistently aligns with students’ linguistic, cultural, and instructional needs, and addresses both foundational skills and language proficiency.	The intervention consistently aligns with students’ linguistic, cultural, and instructional needs, and addresses both foundational skills and language proficiency.
Complements Core Instruction		
Secondary-level intervention is poorly aligned with core instruction and incorporates different topics, even though those topics are not foundational skills that support core program learning objectives.	Secondary-level intervention incorporates foundational skills, but these are only occasionally aligned with the learning objectives of core instruction.	Secondary-level intervention is well aligned with core instruction and incorporates foundational skills that support the learning objectives of core instruction.

1	3	5
Instructional Delivery		
<p>One or none of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interventions are delivered systematically and are culturally and linguistically responsive. Secondary-level interventions are led by staff trained in the intervention and in culturally and linguistically responsive pedagogy. Group size and dosage are optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students. 	<p>Two of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interventions are delivered systematically and are culturally and linguistically responsive. Secondary-level interventions are led by staff trained in the intervention and in culturally and linguistically responsive pedagogy. Group size and dosage are optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students. 	<p>All of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interventions are delivered systematically and are culturally and linguistically responsive. Secondary-level interventions are led by staff trained in the intervention and in culturally and linguistically responsive pedagogy. Group size and dosage are optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students.
Addition to Primary (Core instruction)		
Secondary-level interventions replace core instruction.	Secondary-level interventions sometimes supplement core instruction and sometimes replace core instruction.	Secondary-level interventions supplement core instruction.

Intensive Intervention (Tier III)—Individualized support with a focus on the academic needs of students significantly below grade level and/or students with disabilities

1	3	5
Data-Based Interventions Adapted Based on Student Need		
Intensive interventions are not more intensive (e.g., no increase in duration or frequency, change in interventionist, change in group size, or change in intervention) than secondary interventions.	Intensive interventions are more intensive than secondary interventions based only on preset methods to increase intensity (e.g., sole reliance on increased duration or frequency, change in interventionist, decreased group size, or change in intervention program).	Intensive interventions are more intensive than secondary interventions and are adapted to address individual student needs in a number of ways (e.g., increased duration or frequency, change in interventionist, decreased group size, change in instructional delivery, and change in type of intervention) through an iterative manner based on student data.
Cultural and Linguistic Match of Intervention to Students		
The intervention does not align with students’ linguistic, cultural, and instructional needs.	The intervention inconsistently aligns with students’ linguistic, cultural, and instructional needs.	The intervention consistently aligns with students’ linguistic, cultural, and instructional needs.

1	3	5
Instructional Delivery		
<p>None of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The intervention is individualized and is culturally and linguistically responsive. Intensive interventions are led by well-trained staff experienced in individualizing instruction based on student data and in culturally and linguistically responsive pedagogy. The group size is optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students. 	<p>Only one of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The intervention is individualized and is culturally and linguistically responsive. Intensive interventions are led by well-trained staff experienced in individualizing instruction based on student data and in culturally and linguistically responsive pedagogy. The group size is optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students. 	<p>All of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The intervention is individualized and is culturally and linguistically responsive. Intensive interventions are led by well-trained staff experienced in individualizing instruction based on student data and in culturally and linguistically responsive pedagogy. The group size is optimal (according to research) for the age and needs of students.
Relationship to Primary (Core) Instruction		
<p>Neither of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decisions regarding student participation in both core instruction and intensive intervention are made on a case-by-case basis, according to student need. Intensive interventions are aligned to the specific skill needs of students to help them make progress toward core curriculum standards. 	<p>Only one of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decisions regarding student participation in both core instruction and intensive intervention are made on a case-by-case basis, according to student need. Intensive interventions are aligned to the specific skill needs of students to help them make progress toward core curriculum standards. 	<p>Both of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decisions regarding student participation in both core instruction and intensive intervention are made on a case-by-case basis, according to student need. Intensive interventions are aligned to the specific skill needs of students to help them make progress toward core curriculum standards.

Special Education Referral, Identification, and Individual Education Programs (IEPs) for English — Individualized with a focus on the academic needs of ELs being referred for evaluation or ELs with disabilities

1	3	5
Problem-Solving Team and Process		
<p>Problem-solving teams are not in place or do not include knowledgeable team members (including EL/bilingual specialists) for ELs being supported, and do not utilize language data in addition to skills data to support problem-solving discussion.</p>	<p>Problem-solving teams are partially in place and inconsistently include knowledgeable team members (including EL/bilingual specialists) for ELs being supported, and inconsistently utilize language data in addition to skills data to support problem-solving discussion.</p>	<p>Problem-solving teams are in place and include knowledgeable team members (including EL/bilingual specialists) for ELs being supported, and utilize language data in addition to skills data to support problem-solving discussion.</p>

1	3	5
Referral for Special Education		
<p>Problem-solving team does not appropriately consider severity of need, EL status, and responsiveness to instruction to determine when to make a timely special education referral. Students are frequently denied a timely evaluation or are over-referred for evaluation as supported by disaggregated data.</p>	<p>Problem-solving team inconsistently considers severity of need, EL status, and responsiveness to instruction to determine when to make a timely special education referral. Students are occasionally denied a timely evaluation or are over-referred for evaluation as supported by disaggregated data.</p>	<p>Problem-solving team appropriately considers severity of need, EL status, and responsiveness to instruction to determine when to make a timely special education referral. Students are neither denied a timely evaluation nor are over-referred for evaluation as supported by disaggregated data.</p>
Multidisciplinary Team for EL Special Education Eligibility Evaluation		
<p>The multidisciplinary special education evaluation team is not in place and/or does not include knowledgeable team members (e.g., EL/bilingual specialists) for ELs being evaluated, and does not use language data in addition to assessment data to support eligibility discussion.</p>	<p>The multidisciplinary special education evaluation team is partially in place and/or inconsistently includes knowledgeable team members (including EL/bilingual specialists) for ELs being evaluated, and uses language data in addition to assessment data to support eligibility discussion.</p>	<p>The multidisciplinary special education evaluation team is in place and includes knowledgeable team members (including EL/bilingual specialists) for ELs being evaluated, and uses language data in addition to assessment data to support eligibility discussion.</p>
Assessment Procedures, Instruments, and Interpretation for Evaluation and Qualification for Services		
<p>One or none of the following conditions is met: Assessment instruments used for qualification for special education services are not</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> selected and administered by trained, knowledgeable personnel free of discrimination on a racial or cultural basis; in the child's native language or other mode of communication and in the method, most likely to yield accurate information on what the child knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally; and reliable and valid for purpose used. <p>(34 C.F.R. 300.304)</p>	<p>At least two of the following conditions are met: Assessment instruments used for qualification for special education services are inconsistently</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> selected and administered by trained, knowledgeable personnel free of discrimination on a racial or cultural basis; in the child's native language or other mode of communication and in the method, most likely to yield accurate information on what the child knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally; and reliable and valid for purpose used. <p>(34 C.F.R. 300.304)</p>	<p>All of the following conditions are met: Assessment instruments used for qualification for special education services are</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> selected and administered by trained, knowledgeable personnel free of discrimination on a racial or cultural basis; in the child's native language or other mode of communication and in the method, most likely to yield accurate information on what the child knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally; and reliable and valid for purpose used. <p>(34 C.F.R. 300.304)</p>

1	3	5
Parent and Family Involvement		
<p>Parents are not partners and/or do not provide feedback in prereferral, evaluation, IEP development, and placement decisions. Specifically, the following conditions are not met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parents are involved in meetings or given other means to provide feedback and support their child at all stages of the process. • An appropriately trained and qualified interpreter is provided for all and entire meetings and information gathering times when needed. <p>AND</p> <p>Input is not sought from parents on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developmental and educational history • Family, educational, and life goals 	<p>Parents are inconsistent partners and provide feedback in prereferral, evaluation, IEP development, and placement decisions. One of the following conditions is met.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parents are involved in meetings or given other means to provide feedback and support their child at all stages of the process. • An appropriately trained and qualified interpreter is provided for all and entire meetings and information gathering times when needed. <p>AND/OR</p> <p>Input is inconsistently sought from parents on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developmental and educational history • Family, educational, and life goals 	<p>Parents are equal partners and provide feedback in prereferral, evaluation, IEP development, and placement decisions including. Both of the following conditions are met.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parents are involved in meetings or given other means to provide feedback and support their child at all stages of the process. • An appropriately trained and qualified interpreter is provided for all and entire meetings and information gathering times when needed. <p>AND</p> <p>Input is sought from parents on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developmental and educational history • Family, educational, and life goals
IEPs for ELs		
<p>IEPs for ELs do not include relevant language objectives in addition to academic goals and objectives.</p>	<p>IEPs for ELs inconsistently include relevant language objectives in addition to academic goals and objectives.</p>	<p>IEPs for ELs consistently include relevant language objectives in addition to academic goals and objectives.</p>

Infrastructure and Support Mechanisms

Knowledge, resources, and organizational structures necessary to operationalize all components of RTI within MTSS in a unified system to meet the established goals

1	3	5
Prevention Focus		
Staff generally perceives RTI as a program that solely supports the prereferral process for special education.	Some staff understand that RTI is a framework to support the academic success of all students, including students with disabilities and ELs ; to prevent school failure; and to support the prereferral process for special education.	All staff understand that RTI is a framework to support the academic success of all students, including students with disabilities and ELs ; to prevent school failure; and to support the prereferral process for special education.
Leadership Personnel		
Decisions and actions by school and district leaders result in ineffective implementation of the essential components of the RTI framework at the school.	Decisions and actions by school and district leaders are inconsistent and only somewhat supportive of the essential components of the RTI framework at the school.	Decisions and actions by school and district leaders consistently support the essential components of the RTI framework at the school and help make the RTI framework more effective.
Support for RTI implementation is not a priority.	Support for RTI implementation is somewhat a priority.	Support for RTI implementation is a high priority.
Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Leadership Personnel		
Leadership staff do not have knowledge of the language and cultural backgrounds of the students or do not take into account students' language and culture in decision making.	Leadership staff have limited knowledge of the language and cultural backgrounds of the students or inconsistently take into account students' language and culture in decision making.	Leadership staff have strong knowledge of the language and cultural backgrounds of the students and consistently take into account students' language and culture in decision making.

1	3	5
School-Based Professional Development		
<p>One or none of the following conditions is met: School-based culturally and linguistically responsive professional development is</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • well-defined, • consistent, and • institutionalized, <p>so that all teachers continually examine, reflect upon, and improve instructional practice, data-based decision making, and delivery of instruction and interventions.</p>	<p>Two of the following conditions are met: School-based culturally and linguistically responsive professional development is</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • well-defined, • consistent, and • institutionalized, <p>so that all teachers continually examine, reflect upon, and improve instructional practice, data-based decision making, and delivery of instruction and interventions.</p>	<p>All of the following conditions are met: School-based culturally and linguistically responsive professional development is</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • well-defined, • consistent, and • institutionalized, <p>so that all teachers continually examine, reflect upon, and improve instructional practice, data-based decision making, and delivery of instruction and interventions.</p>
Schedules		
<p>Schoolwide schedules are not aligned to support multiple levels of intervention based on student need; inadequate time is available for interventions.</p>	<p>Schoolwide schedules are partially aligned to support multiple levels of intervention based on student need; some additional time is built in for interventions.</p>	<p>Schoolwide schedules are aligned to support multiple levels of intervention based on student need; adequate additional time is built in for interventions.</p>
Resources		
<p>Resources (e.g., funds, programs) are not allocated to support RTI implementation.</p>	<p>Resources (e.g., funds, programs) are partially allocated to support RTI implementation.</p>	<p>Resources (e.g., funds, programs) are adequately allocated to support RTI implementation.</p>
Cultural and Linguistic Responsiveness Professional Development		
<p>One or none of the following conditions is met: Staff have received professional development in and can articulate information and factors that they consider when adopting culturally and linguistically relevant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • instructional practices, • assessments, and • intervention programs. 	<p>Two of the following conditions are met: Staff have received professional development in and can articulate information and factors that they consider when adopting culturally and linguistically relevant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • instructional practices, • assessments, and • intervention programs. 	<p>All of the following conditions are met: Staff have received professional development in and can articulate information and factors that they consider when adopting culturally and linguistically relevant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • instructional practices, • assessments, and • intervention programs.

1	3	5
Communication With and Involvement of Parents		
<p>One or none of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of the school's essential components of RTI is shared with parents. • A coherent mechanism is implemented for updating parents on the progress of their child who is receiving secondary or intensive interventions. • Parents are involved during decision making regarding the progress of students receiving intensive intervention. 	<p>Two of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of the school's essential components of RTI is shared with parents. • A coherent mechanism is implemented for updating parents on the progress of their child who is receiving secondary or intensive interventions. • Parents are involved during decision making regarding the progress of students receiving intensive intervention. 	<p>All of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of the school's essential components of RTI is shared with parents. • A coherent mechanism is implemented for updating parents on the progress of their child who is receiving secondary or intensive interventions. • Parents are involved during decision making regarding the progress of students receiving intensive intervention.
Communication With and Involvement of All Staff		
<p>One or none of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of the school's essential components of RTI and data-based decision-making process is shared with staff. • A system is in place to keep staff informed. • Teacher teams collaborate frequently. 	<p>At least two of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of the school's essential components of RTI and data-based decision-making process is shared with staff. • A system is in place to keep staff informed. • Teacher teams collaborate frequently. 	<p>All of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of the school's essential components of RTI and data-based decision-making process is shared with staff. • A system is in place to keep staff informed. • Teacher teams collaborate frequently.
RTI Teams		
<p>One or none of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The RTI team is representative of all key stakeholders. • Structures and clear processes are in place to guide decision making. • Time is set aside for the team to meet regularly. 	<p>Two of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The RTI team is representative of all key stakeholders. • Structures and clear processes are in place to guide decision making. • Time is set aside for the team to meet regularly. 	<p>All of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The RTI team is representative of all key stakeholders. • Structures and clear processes are in place to guide decision making. • Time is set aside for the team to meet regularly.

Fidelity and Evaluation

System for collecting and analyzing data to measure fidelity and effectiveness of the CLR-RTI model

1	3	5
Fidelity		
<p>None of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Procedures are in place to monitor the fidelity of implementation of the core curriculum and secondary and intensive interventions. • Procedures are in place to monitor the processes of administering and analyzing assessments. 	<p>One of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Procedures are in place to monitor the fidelity of implementation of the core curriculum and secondary and intensive interventions. • Procedures are in place to monitor the processes of administering and analyzing assessments. 	<p>Both of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Procedures are in place to monitor the fidelity of implementation of the core curriculum and secondary and intensive interventions. • Procedures are in place to monitor the processes of administering and analyzing assessments.
Evaluation		
<p>None of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An evaluation plan is in place to monitor short- and long-term goals. • Student data are reviewed for all students and sub-groups of students across the essential components to evaluate effectiveness of the CLR-RTI framework (i.e., core curriculum is effective, interventions are effective, screening process is effective). • Implementation data (e.g., walk-through) are reviewed to monitor fidelity and efficiency across all components of the CLR-RTI framework. 	<p>At least one of the following conditions is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An evaluation plan is in place to monitor short- and long-term goals. • Student data are reviewed for all students and sub-groups of students across the essential components to evaluate effectiveness of the CLR-RTI framework (i.e., core curriculum is effective, interventions are effective, screening process is effective). • Implementation data (e.g., walk-through) are reviewed to monitor fidelity and efficiency across all components of the CLR-RTI framework. 	<p>All of the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An evaluation plan is in place to monitor short- and long-term goals. • Student data are reviewed for all students and sub-groups of students across the essential components to evaluate effectiveness of the CLR-RTI framework (i.e., core curriculum is effective, interventions are effective, screening process is effective). • Implementation data (e.g., walk-through) are reviewed to monitor fidelity and efficiency across all components of the CLR-RTI framework.